

*Paucity of means of communication in the Parakadavu Pakuthi, Parur Taluk.*

221. MR. A. G. MENON (Parur): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they are aware of the extreme paucity of means of communication in the Parakadavu pakuthi of the Parur taluk;

(b) whether they have received any representation about the same from the people of that pakuthi; and

(c) whether they are aware that, owing to the lay of the pakuthi and the nature of the soil, construction of roads in the pakuthi would be much less costly and much more easy than it would be in many of the other places in the northern taluks?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (Secretary to Government): (a) It is reported that there is a paucity of communications in the Parakadavu pakuthi.

(b) No.

(c) Yes.

*Additional District Judges.*

222. MR. MURUVÉLIL PACHU PILLAI (Shertallai): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they take into consideration the services of the Sirkar Vakils also when Additional District Judges are appointed from among them;

(b) if the answer to part (a) is in the affirmative, whether there are not Sirkar Vakils who have put in longer service than those Sirkar Vakils who have been appointed District Judges now (18-2-1104); and

(c) the reasons for not appointing them?

MR. K. GEORGE (Chief Secretary to Government): (a) Yes.

(b) There may be.

(c) Length of service alone is not taken into consideration in the appointment of Second Judges and District Judges. There are other considerations as well.

*Acting Police Inspectors.*

223. MR. MURUVÉLIL PACHU PILLAI (Shertallai): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether in the matter of determining the seniority of Head Constables for appointment as acting Inspectors of Police, it is the date of their first acting appointment as Police Inspectors, or their service and higher pay that is being taken into account;

(b) whether this has been questioned at any time;

(c) whether the Commissioner of Police has called for the views of the Account Officer for meeting the objections raised;

(d) if the answer to part (c) is in the affirmative, whether the Account Officer has given any reply in the matter, and, if so, the purport of his reply; and